arXiv:q-alg/9512030v1 25 Dec 1995

q-alg/9512030

December 1995

Tensor operators in R-matrix approach.

A. G. Bytsko [*] [#]

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik,
Universität Hamburg,
Luruper Chaussee 149,
22761 Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

The definitions and some properties (e.g. the Wigner-Eckart theorem, the fusion procedure) of covariant and contravariant q-tensor operators for quasitriangular quantum Lie algebras are formulated in the R-matrix language. The case of $U_q(sl(n))$ (in particular, for $n = 2$) is discussed in more detail.

---

[*] e-mail : bytsko@x4u2.desy.de

[#] On leave of absence from
Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, St.-Petersburg, 191011, Russia;
e-mail : bytsko@pdmi.ras.ru

1. Introduction

Quantum groups and quantum algebras which originally appeared in the studies of integrable systems present nowadays a well developed part of mathematics. The R-matrix method is the most powerful and very elegant tool of this theory. On the other hand, the theory of tensor operators which has its origins in quantum mechanics is also a well-known mathematical construction. Its modification – the theory of q-tensor operators has been intensively developing during several last years.

The purpose of this paper is to give a formulation of the theory of q-tensor operators using the R-matrix language.

The paper is organized as follows. First, we remind some basic facts concerning q-tensor operators and quantum algebras. Next, we give a definition of tensor operators in the R-matrix language. And further we discuss from this point of view different aspects of general theory of tensor operators (Wigner-Eckart theorem, fusion procedure, construction of scalars and invariants, classical limit).

a) Tensor operators and their q-analogues.

The most of physical models possess groups of symmetry. To classify the objects described by such a model (fields, currents etc.) with respect to action of the group one needs to introduce the notion of *tensor operator* [1]. Let us recall the definition and some properties of tensor operators.

Let G be a Lie group and ρ^I be some finite dimensional representation with carrier vector space V^I. Then the set of operators $\{T^I_m\}_{m=1}^{\dim\rho^I}$ is called a tensor operator (transforming according to the representation ρ^I) if

$$U(g)\,T^I_m\,U^{-1}(g) = \sum_n T^I_n\,\Big(\rho^I(g)\Big)_{nm}, \qquad \text{for all } g\in G, \tag{1}$$

where $U(g)$ is a unitary representation of the group G in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

The definition (1) rewritten in terms of the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathcal{J}$ looks as follows

$$[\,\xi\,,\,T^I_m\,] = \sum_n T^I_n\,\Big(\rho^I(\xi)\Big)_{nm}, \qquad \text{for all } \xi\in\mathcal{J}, \tag{2}$$

where $\rho^I(\xi)\equiv\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{d\theta}\rho^I(\exp\{i\theta\xi\})\Big|_{\theta=0}$.

Remark. In what follows we are considering tensor operators $\{T^I_m\}$ as operators acting on the *model space* [2], which is the direct sum of all irreducible representations of the group G with multiplicity one:

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_I \mathcal{H}_I. \tag{3}$$

Notice that elements of the algebra $\mathcal{J}$ itself also are operators acting on $\mathcal{M}$.

The main property of tensor operators is described by the Wigner-Eckart theorem [1], which allows to reduce the problem of finding the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (CGC) to the problem of constructing of the corresponding tensor operators:

Theorem (Wigner-Eckart). *Let $\{|I\,m\rangle\}_{m=1}^{\dim\rho^I}$ denote the set of orthonormal basic vectors in the irreducible subspace $\mathcal{H}_I$ of the model space $\mathcal{M}$ and let $\{T^J_m\}_{m=1}^{\dim\rho^J}$ be a tensor operator. Then matrix elements of the components T^J_m are*

$$\langle Km''|T^J_m|Im'\rangle = ||T^J||_{IK}\left\{\begin{matrix} I & J & K\\ m' & m & m''\end{matrix}\right\}, \tag{4}$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} I & J & K \\ m' & m & m'' \end{matrix} \right\}$ *are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients corresponding to the decomposition of the tensor product* $\rho^J \otimes \rho^I$ *and the coefficients* $||T^J||_{IK}$ *(called reduced matrix elements) do not depend on* m, m', m''.

The theory of tensor operators can be extended to the case of quantum Lie algebras [3, 4, 5]. For this purpose the most natural way is to use the Hopf algebra attributes (i.e., the co-product Δ, the antipode S and the co-unit ϵ) of $\mathcal{J}_q$.

Let ξ be an element of $\mathcal{J}_q$ with the co-product $\Delta\xi = \sum\limits_k \xi^1_k \otimes \xi^2_k$ and the antipode $S(\xi)$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a certain Hilbert space such that $\mathcal{J}_q \subset \mathrm{End}\,\mathcal{H}$. The definition of the adjoint action of the algebra reads [3, 4, 5]

$$(\mathrm{ad}_q\xi)\,\eta = \sum_k \xi^1_k\,\eta\,S(\xi^2_k), \qquad \xi \in \mathcal{J}_q, \;\; \eta \in \mathrm{End}\,\mathcal{H}. \tag{5}$$

The analogue of definition (2) for q-tensor operators looks like

$$(\mathrm{ad}_q\xi)\,T^I_m = \sum_n T^I_n \left(\rho^I(\xi)\right)_{nm}, \qquad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{J}_q. \tag{6}$$

Here ρ is a finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{J}_q$.

Remark. Let us underline that in (6) the elements of the quantum algebra and the components of tensor operator are regarded as operators acting on the same Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (say, on the model space $\mathcal{M}$).

Remark. The definition (6) admits the following vector form (t denotes a transposition)

$$(\mathrm{ad}_q\,\xi)\;\vec{T}^I \;=\; \left(\rho^I(\xi)\right)^t\,\vec{T}^I, \qquad \vec{T}^I \equiv \begin{pmatrix} T^I_1 \\ \vdots \\ T^I_N \end{pmatrix}, \qquad N \equiv \dim\rho^I, \tag{7}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(\mathrm{ad}_q\,\xi)\;(\vec{T}^I)^t \;=(\vec{T}^I)^t\,\rho^I(\xi), \qquad (\vec{T}^I)^t \equiv (T^I_1, .., T^I_N). \tag{8}$$

Remark. The adjoint action (5) has the property $(\mathrm{ad}_q(\xi\,\xi'))\;\eta = (\mathrm{ad}_q\xi)\;((\mathrm{ad}_q\xi')\,\eta)$. Applying it to the definition (6), one gets $(\mathrm{ad}_q(\xi\,\xi'))\;T^I_m = \sum\limits_n T^I_n\,\left(\rho^I(\xi)\,\rho^I(\xi')\right)_{nm}$. This implies that it is sufficient to consider the definition (6) only for the basic elements of the algebra (say, for the generators corresponding to simple roots).

Remark. In the classical limit ($q \to 1$) the definition (6) gives (2) since for the generators of classical algebra $\Delta\,\xi = \xi\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\xi$, $S(\xi) = -\xi$ (and due to the previous Remark, it is sufficient to check the coincidence only on the set of generators).

Similarly to the classical case, matrix elements of the components of the q-tensor operators are proportional to deformed CGC (more on the generalization of the Wigner-Eckart theorem can be found e.g. in [4]).

In general, physical models involve besides tensor operators other objects which transform as *conjugated* tensor operators (these two types of objects are also called co- and contravariant operators). Recall that for the classical Lie group G the conjugated tensor operator $\{\overline{T}^I_m\}_{m=1}^{\dim\rho^I}$ is defined as follows [1]

$$U(g)\,\overline{T}^I_m\,U^{-1}(g) = \sum_n \left(\rho^I(g^{-1})\right)_{mn}\,\overline{T}^I_n, \tag{9}$$

or, equivalently, in terms of generators of the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathcal{J}$ as follows

$$[\,\xi\,,\,\overline{T}{}^{I}_{m}\,] = -\sum_{n}\Big(\rho^{I}(\xi)\Big)_{mn}\,\overline{T}{}^{I}_{n}. \tag{10}$$

The q-analogue of the definition (10) is

$$(\mathrm{ad}_{q}\xi)\;\overline{T}{}^{I}_{m} = \sum_{n}\Big(\rho^{I}(S(\xi))\Big)_{mn}\overline{T}{}^{I}_{n}. \tag{11}$$

b) Quantum algebras in R-matrix formalism.

Let $\mathcal{J}_q = U_q(\mathcal{J})$ be a quantum Lie algebra [6, 7, 8] with the unit element e, the co-product Δ, the antipode S and the co-unit ϵ. We suppose that the algebra $\mathcal{J}_q$ under consideration is *quasitriangular* [6], i.e., there exists an invertible element (called universal R-matrix) $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{J}_q \otimes \mathcal{J}_q$ with the properties:

$$\mathcal{R}\,\Delta(\xi) \;=\; \Delta'(\xi)\,\mathcal{R} \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathcal{J}_q, \tag{12}$$

$$(id \otimes \Delta)\,\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{12}, \quad (\Delta \otimes id)\,\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{23}, \tag{13}$$

where $\Delta' = \sigma \circ \Delta$ and σ denotes a permutation in $\mathcal{J}_q \otimes \mathcal{J}_q :\; \sigma(\xi \otimes \widetilde{\xi}) = \widetilde{\xi} \otimes \xi$. From (13) one can derive the Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{12}. \tag{14}$$

An action of the antipode S on the universal R-matrix is given by

$$(S \otimes id)\,\mathcal{R} = (id \otimes S^{-1})\,\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{-1}. \tag{15}$$

Let ρ^I be a certain representation of $\mathcal{J}_q$. Then the objects called L-operators are defined as follows

$$L^{I}_{+} = (\rho^{I} \otimes id)\,\mathcal{R}, \quad L^{I}_{-} = (\rho^{I} \otimes id)\,(\mathcal{R}')^{-1}, \tag{16}$$

where $\mathcal{R}' = \sigma\,(\,\mathcal{R}\,)$. Since $L^{I}_{\pm} \in \mathrm{End}\,V^{I} \otimes \mathcal{J}_q$, they can be regarded as matrices. From (14) the Yang-Baxter equations for the L-operators are derived

$$R^{IJ}_{\pm}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{\pm}\;\overset{2}{L}{}^{J}_{\pm} = \overset{2}{L}{}^{J}_{\pm}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{\pm}\;R^{IJ}_{\pm}, \qquad R^{IJ}_{+}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{+}\;\overset{2}{L}{}^{J}_{-} = \overset{2}{L}{}^{J}_{-}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{+}\;R^{IJ}_{+}, \tag{17}$$

where $\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{\pm} \equiv L^{I}{}_{\pm} \otimes e^{J} \in \mathrm{End}\,V^{I} \otimes \mathrm{End}\,V^{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}_q$ etc. and $R_{\pm}$ are defined as follows

$$R^{IJ}_{+} = (\rho^{I} \otimes \rho^{J})\,\mathcal{R}, \quad R^{IJ}_{-} = (\rho^{I} \otimes \rho^{J})\,(\mathcal{R}')^{-1}. \tag{18}$$

Further we shall omit indices of representations I, J of L-operators and R-matrices when it does not lead to uncertainty.

Remark. The matrix L constructed from L_{+}, L_{-} as follows

$$L = L_{+}\,L_{-}^{-1}, \tag{19}$$

satisfies the following relation [9] (here $L^{I} = L^{I}_{+}(L^{I}_{-})^{-1}$)

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}(R^{IJ}_{-})^{-1}\;\overset{2}{L}{}^{J}R^{IJ}_{-} = (R^{IJ}_{+})^{-1}\;\overset{2}{L}{}^{J}R^{IJ}_{+}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}, \tag{20}$$

which is known as "reflection equation" in the theory of factorizable scattering [10].

Applying the representation ρ^I to (13) and (15), one defines the Hopf algebra operations for the L-operators (see [8] for details)

$$\Delta\, L_{\pm} \;=\; L_{\pm} \dot{\otimes} L_{\pm}, \qquad S(L_{\pm}) \;=\; L_{\pm}^{-1}, \tag{21}$$

where $\dot{\otimes}$ means a matrix product in the auxiliary space V^I and a tensor product in the quantum space $\mathcal{J}_q$. Relations (21) rewritten for entries of the L-operators look like

$$\Delta\,(L_{\pm})_{ij} \;=\; \sum_k (L_{\pm})_{ik} \otimes (L_{\pm})_{kj}, \qquad S((L_{\pm})_{ij}) \;=\; (L_{\pm}^{-1})_{ij}. \tag{22}$$

c) The case of $U_q(sl(n))$.

To exemplify the definitions given above we consider the case of $\mathcal{J}_q = U_q(sl(n))$ which will be our main example in the sequel. The universal R-matrix for $U_q(sl(n))$ is given by [11]

$$\mathcal{R} = q^{2\sum\limits_{ij} a_{ij}^{-1}\, H_i \otimes H_j} \prod\nolimits_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}^{<} E_{q^{-2}}\left((1-q^{-2})\,(q^{H_\alpha}X_\alpha^+)\otimes(q^{-H_\alpha}X_\alpha^-)\right), \tag{23}$$

where

$$E_q(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r}{[r;q]!}, \qquad [r;q]! = \prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(q^k-1)}{(q-1)}$$

is a q-exponential, a_{ij} is the Cartan matrix, Φ^+ is the set of positive roots and the factors in (23) are ordered in a specific way.

The commutation relations and the Hopf algebra attributes for all generators of $U_q(sl(n))$ can be extracted from the matrix equations (17) and (21), where $L_\pm$ and $R_\pm$ are constructed by formulae (16), (18) from the R-matrix (23) (see e.g. [8, 11]). In particular, for the generators corresponding to simple roots one gets

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta\, q^{H_i} = q^{H_i}\otimes q^{H_i}, & \Delta\, X_i^{\pm} = X_i^{\pm}\otimes q^{-H_i} + q^{H_i}\otimes X_i^{\pm}, \\ S(H_i) = -H_i, & S(X_i^{\pm}) = -q^{\mp 1} X_i^{\pm}, \end{array} \qquad i=1,..,n-1. \tag{24}$$

Substituting (24) into the general definition (6) and taking into account that the matrices $\rho(H_i)$ are diagonal in the standard basis, one gets the definition of (covariant) tensor operators for $U_q(sl(n))$

$$\begin{array}{c} q^{H_i}\, T_m^J\, q^{-H_i} = q^{\left(\rho^J(H_i)\right)_{mm}}\, T_m^J, \\ X_i^{\pm}\, T_m^J q^{H_i} - q^{H_i \mp 1}\, T_m^J\, X_i^{\pm} = \sum\limits_n T_n^J \left(\rho^J(X_i^{\pm})\right)_{nm}, \end{array} \qquad i=1,..,n-1. \tag{25}$$

2. Tensor operators in R-matrix approach

a) Generating matrices.

Let $\mathcal{J}_q$ be a deformed quasitriangular Lie algebra with the corresponding universal R-matrix $\mathcal{R}$, let $\mathcal{M}$ be the corresponding model space and let ρ^I be a certain finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{J}_q$. Let us introduce new objects

$$U^J,\; W^J \;\in\; \mathrm{End}\, V^J \otimes \mathrm{End}\, \mathcal{M}, \tag{26}$$

which can be regarded as matrices in the auxiliary space V^J with entries being operators mapping the model space $\mathcal{M}$ into itself.

Proposition 1 *Let ρ^{I_0} and ρ^J denote the fundamental and arbitrary finite dimensional representations of the quantum Lie algebra $\mathcal{J}_q$, respectively. Suppose that U^J introduced in (26) satisfies the relations*

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{+}\;\overset{2}{U}{}^{J} = \overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;R^{I_0 J}_{+}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{+}, \qquad \overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{-}\;\overset{2}{U}{}^{J} = \overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;R^{I_0 J}_{-}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{-}, \tag{27}$$

where $L^{I_0}_{\pm}$, $R^{I_0 J}_{\pm}$ are defined as in (16), (18). Then n-th row (for any n) of the matrix U^J forms a q-tensor operator (which transforms by the representation ρ^J) in the sense of definition (6) according to the rule:

$$U^J_{ni} = T^{J\,\langle n\rangle}_{i}, \quad i = 1, .., \dim\rho^J. \tag{28}$$

Below we call the matrix U^J *generating matrix* because, according to the Wigner-Eckart theorem, its entries produce corresponding CGC.

Remark. Obviously, once we have the equations (27) with the fundamental L-operators $L^{I_0}_{\pm}$, we can extend them to the case of $L^I_{\pm}$ corresponding to the arbitrary representation ρ^I as well. These equations will describe q-tensor operators in terms of polynomial combinations of the generators of the deformed Lie algebra $\mathcal{J}_q$.

Remark. An equivalent form of the relations (27) (due to the previous Remark, we can write it down for arbitrary representation of the L-operators) is

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{+}\;\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\,(\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{+})^{-1} = \overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;R^{IJ}_{+}, \qquad \overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{-}\;\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\,(\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{-})^{-1} = \overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;R^{IJ}_{-}. \tag{29}$$

Remark. The consequence of (27) for L defined in (19) is

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}\;\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;R^{IJ}_{-} = \overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;R^{IJ}_{+}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}.$$

Proof of the Proposition 1. Let us notice that the l.h.s. of (29) is nothing but the R-matrix form of the definition of adjoint action (5). Indeed, let $L_{\pm}$ be N×N matrices (we omit the index I_0 since the following arguments hold for any representation ρ^I). Then, using the matrix form of tensor product

$$\overset{1}{A}\;\overset{2}{B} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B & \dots & A_{1N}B \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{N1}B & \dots & A_{NN}B \end{pmatrix},$$

one can write down the product $\overset{1}{L}_{\pm}\;\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}(\overset{1}{L}_{\pm})^{-1}$ as N×N matrix with entries (which are matrices as well) given by

$$\Big(\overset{1}{L}_{\pm}\,\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}(\overset{1}{L}_{\pm})^{-1}\Big)_{ij} = \sum_k (L_{\pm})_{ik}\,U^J\,S\Big((L_{\pm})_{kj}\Big) \equiv \Big(\mathrm{ad}_q\,(L_{\pm})_{ij}\Big)\,U^J, \quad i,j = 1, .., N. \tag{30}$$

Here we have used the relations (22) and the definition (5). The r.h.s. of (27) written in the same manner looks like

$$\Big(\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;R^{IJ}_{\pm}\Big)_{ij} = U^J\,\Big((id\otimes\rho^J)\,L^I_{\pm}\Big)_{ij}, \tag{31}$$

where we have used the identities $R^{IJ}_{\pm} = (id \otimes \rho^J) L^I_{\pm}$ which follow from (16) and (18).

From (29)-(31) it follows that each row of the matrix U^J obeys the vector form (8) of the definition (6). Since the pair $L^{I_0}_{\pm}$ comprises all the generators of $\mathcal{J}_q$, we conclude that each row of U^J is a q-tensor operator. $\square$

Remark. In the case of arbitrary quantum algebra one should have the equations (27) for the sufficient set of L-operators (not only for the fundamental ones).

Remark. Multiplying (27) from the left by $e \otimes \vec{x}^{\,t}_r$ (e stands for the unit element of $\mathcal{J}_q$), where $(\vec{x}_r)_k = \delta_{rk}$, $k=1,..,N$, one gets equations of the same type for r-th row of U^J. This implies that the rows of U^J are independent, i.e., in the Proposition 1 one may regard U^J not as a *matrix* but as a *vector* (row) defined as $U^J \in V^J \otimes \mathrm{End}\,\mathcal{M}$. But, bearing in mind possible applications, it is preferable to arrange these vectors in a square matrix. The most interesting case is when all the rows correspond to nonequivalent [1] tensor operators.

Remark. From the previous Remark it also follows that an arbitrary linear combination of the rows of U^J defines some q-tensor operator as well.

Remark. One should not be confused by the fact that equations (27) with U^J replaced by $g \in \mathrm{Fun}\,(G_q) = (U_q(\mathcal{J}))^*$ coincide with the well known duality relations between the quantum algebra and the quantum group. These equations deal with essentially different objects.

Now let us define conjugated (contravariant) tensor operators.

Proposition 2 *Let $L^{I_0}_{\pm}$, $R^{I_0 I}_{\pm}$, W^J be defined as in (16), (18), (26). Suppose that W^J satisfies the relations*

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{+}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J} = (R^{I_0J}_{+})^{-1}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J}\ \overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{+}, \qquad \overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{-}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J} = (R^{I_0J}_{-})^{-1}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J}\ \overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{-}. \tag{32}$$

Then n-th column (for any n) of the matrix W^J forms a conjugated q-tensor operator (which transforms by the representation ρ^J) in the sense of definition (11) according to the rule:

$$W^J_{in} = \overline{T}{}^{J\,\langle n\rangle}_{i}, \quad i = 1,..,\dim \rho^J. \tag{33}$$

Proof is analogous to the one that was given for the Proposition 1. One should use the identities $(R^{I_0J}_{\pm})^{-1} = (id \otimes \rho^J)\, S(L^J_{\pm})$ which follow from (15),(16) and (18). $\square$

Remark. An equivalent form of the relations (32) is

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{+}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J} (\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{+})^{-1} = (R^{IJ}_{+})^{-1}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J}, \qquad \overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{-}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J} (\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{-})^{-1} = (R^{IJ}_{-})^{-1}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J}. \tag{34}$$

Remark. The consequence of (32) for L defined in (19) is

$$R^{IJ}_{+}\ \overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{+}\, (R^{IJ}_{-})^{-1}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J} = \overset{2}{W}{}^{J}\ \overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{+}.$$

Remark. It is easy to see that if the matrix U^J corresponds to q-tensor operator (i.e., obeys (27)) and is invertible, then $W^J = (U^J)^{-1}$ corresponds to conjugated q-tensor operator (i.e., it obeys (32)) and vice versa.

Now let us remind that for classical groups the "scalar product" $\sum\limits_m Q_m \overline{T}_m$ of covariant and contravariant tensor operators is a scalar with respect to the adjoint action of the algebra [1]. The R-matrix approach allows to formulate and prove this statement for a quantum algebra $\mathcal{J}_q$ as follows.

---

[1] Tensor operators are equivalent if their matrix elements are proportional.

Proposition 3 *Let U^J and W^J be generating matrices obeying (27) and (32), respectively. Then all the entries of the matrix $Z^J = U^J W^J$ are scalars with respect to the adjoint action of $\mathcal{J}_q$.*

Proof. First, from (27) and (32) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm} \; \overset{2}{Z}{}^{J} \, (\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm})^{-1} &= (\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm} \; \overset{2}{U}{}^{J} \, (\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm})^{-1}) \, (\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm} \; \overset{2}{W}{}^{J} \, (\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm})^{-1}) = \\ &= (\overset{2}{U}{}^{J} \; R^{I_0 J}_{\pm}) \, ((R^{I_0 J}_{\pm})^{-1} \; \overset{2}{W}{}^{J}) = \overset{2}{U}{}^{J} \; \overset{2}{W}{}^{J} = \overset{2}{Z}{}^{J}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Next, multiplying (35) by $e \otimes \vec{x}_l^{\,t}$ from the left and by $e \otimes \vec{x}_r$ from the right (these number-valued vectors $(\vec{x}_r)_k = \delta_{rk}$ commute with $\overset{1}{L}$), we get this relation for each element Z^J_{lr}. Finally, using the same arguments as in the proof of the Proposition 1, we conclude that each Z^J_{lr} satisfies (6) with a trivial (one-dimensional) representation ρ^0 (which is nothing but the co-unit ϵ) in the r.h.s, and, therefore, it is a scalar. □

Remark. In other words, the equation (35) for each Z^J_{lr} may be regarded as (29) or (34) with the trivial R-matrix $R_{\pm} = e^{I_0} \otimes 1$.

b) Wigner-Eckart theorem.

Let us give a proof of the Wigner-Eckart theorem for q-tensor operators with the help of the R-matrix language. We restrict our consideration to the case of quantum simple quasitriangular Lie algebra $\mathcal{J}_q$. We shall prove the following equivalent of the statement (4)

$$T^J_m \, |I m'\rangle \;=\; \sum_K ||T^J||_{IK} \left\{ \begin{matrix} I & J & K \\ m' & m & m'' \end{matrix} \right\} |K m''\rangle. \tag{36}$$

In this section we shall use the equation (27) with U^J being not a matrix but a single row (as explained above, all the rows are independent). So, let U^J be a row which forms the tensor operator T^J. Then (36) can be rewritten as follows (t denotes transposition)

$$\overset{2}{U}{}^{J} \;\; |\overset{1}{I}\,\rangle^{\,t} \;=\; \sum_K ||T^J||_{IK} \;\, |K\rangle^{\,t} \, \mathrm{C}[IJK], \tag{37}$$

where $|K\rangle$ denotes the vector of basic vectors for given subspace $\mathcal{H}^K$, i.e., $|K\rangle_m = |Km\rangle$ (compare with (7)) and we introduced the Clebsch-Gordan maps [12, 5] defined as

$$\mathrm{C}[IJK] : \, V^I \otimes V^J \to V^K, \quad \mathrm{C}'[IJK] : \, V^K \to V^I \otimes V^J. \tag{38}$$

These objects have the properties

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathrm{C}[IJK] \, (\rho^I \otimes \rho^J) \, \Delta(\,\xi\,) &= \rho^K(\xi) \, \mathrm{C}[IJK], \\ (\rho^I \otimes \rho^J) \, \Delta(\,\xi\,) \, \mathrm{C}'[IJK] &= \mathrm{C}'[IJK] \, \rho^K(\xi), \end{aligned} \right. \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathcal{J}_q, \tag{39}$$

$$\sum_K \mathrm{C}'[IJK] \, \mathrm{C}[I'J'K] = \delta_{II'} \, \delta_{JJ'}, \qquad \mathrm{C}[IJK] \, \mathrm{C}'[IJL] = \delta_{KL} \tag{40}$$

and for fixed representations they can be regarded as rectangular matrices (with entries being numerical values of the CGC), e.g. the r.h.s. of (40) are the identity matrices.

From (39)-(40) and the quasitriangularity relation (13) one derives the formulae which are known as the *fusion procedure* for R-matrices:

$$\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}}[IJK]\;\overset{13}{R}{}^{LJ}\;\overset{12}{R}{}^{LI} = R^{LK}\;\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}}[IJK], \qquad \overset{13}{R}{}^{LJ}\;\overset{12}{R}{}^{LI}\;\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}'}[IJK] = \overset{23}{\mathrm{C}'}[IJK]\;R^{LK}, \qquad (41)$$

where we denoted $\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}}[IJK] \equiv id \otimes \mathrm{C}[IJK]$, $\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}'}[IJK] \equiv id \otimes \mathrm{C}'[IJK]$.

Remark. The relations (41) look very similarly to (27). But we have to stress that these relations describe essentially different objects.

Next, we notice that, due to (16), action of generators of $\mathcal{J}_q$ on basic vectors can be written as follows

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{I}_{\pm}\;|\overset{2}{K}\rangle^{t} = |\overset{2}{K}\rangle^{t}\;R^{IK}_{\pm}. \qquad (42)$$

Now we are able to prove the Wigner-Eckart theorem. Indeed, using (27) and (41)-(42), we find

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{N}_{\pm}\left(\overset{3}{U}{}^{J}\;|\overset{2}{I}\rangle^{t}\;\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}'}[IJK]\right) = \overset{3}{U}{}^{J}\;\overset{13}{R}{}^{NJ}_{\pm}\;\overset{1}{L}{}^{N}_{\pm}\;|\overset{2}{I}\rangle^{t}\;\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}'}[IJK] =$$

$$= \overset{3}{U}{}^{J}\;\overset{13}{R}{}^{NJ}_{\pm}\;|\overset{2}{I}\rangle^{t}\;\overset{12}{R}{}^{NI}_{\pm}\;\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}'}[IJK] = \overset{3}{U}{}^{J}\;|\overset{2}{I}\rangle^{t}\;\overset{13}{R}{}^{NJ}_{\pm}\;\overset{12}{R}{}^{NI}_{\pm}\;\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}'}[IJK] =$$

$$= \left(\overset{3}{U}{}^{J}\;|\overset{2}{I}\rangle^{t}\;\overset{23}{\mathrm{C}'}[IJK]\right)\;R^{NK}_{\pm}.$$

According to (42), this implies that the vector $(\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;|\overset{1}{I}\rangle^{t}\mathrm{C}'[IJK])$ coincides (up to an arbitrary constant depending on I, J, K) with the vector $|K\rangle^{t}$:

$$\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}\;|\overset{1}{I}\rangle^{t}\mathrm{C}'[IJK] \;=\; ||T^{J}||_{IK}\;|K\rangle^{t}.$$

Due to (40) the later equality is equivalent to (37). Thus, the Wigner-Eckart theorem is proved.

Remark. If the algebra $\mathcal{J}$ is not simple, the theory of tensor operators is more sophisticated because multiplicities appear in tensor products of representations. In particular, it makes a proof of the Wigner-Eckart theorem more complicated. One may assume that the information about these multiplicities is hidden in the structure of generating matrices and R-matrices.

c) Fusion procedure

The relations (41) describe the fusion procedure for R-matrices, i.e., they allow in principle to construct from the fundamental R-matrix $R^{I_0 I_0}$ all other representations R^{IJ} of the universal R-matrix for a given quantum Lie algebra. In what follows we shall use slightly different form of fusion formula (originally introduced in [14] for spectral-dependent R-matrices)

$$\overset{1,32}{R}{}^{LK}_{\pm} = \overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K}\;\overset{13}{R}{}^{LJ}_{\pm}\;\overset{12}{R}{}^{LI}_{\pm}\;\overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K}, \qquad (43)$$

where the l.h.s. stands for $R^{LK}_{\pm}$ written in the basis of the bigger space $V^{L} \otimes V^{I} \otimes V^{J}$ and P^{IJ}_{K} denotes a projector (i.e., $(P^{IJ}_{K})^2 = P^{IJ}_{K}$) onto the subspace in $V^{I} \otimes V^{J}$ corresponding to the representation ρ^{K}.

Remark. For example, such projectors appear in the decomposition formula for the fundamental $U_q(sl(n))$ R-matrix (see [8] for other principal series)

$$\widehat{R}_{\pm} = q^{\mp\frac{1}{n}} \left(q^{\pm 1} P_{+} - q^{\mp 1} P_{-}\right), \tag{44}$$

where $(\widehat{R}_{\pm})_{ij,kl} = (R_{\pm})_{ji,kl}$ and $P_{\pm}$ are the projectors in $\mathbf{C}^n \otimes \mathbf{C}^n$ (q-symmetrizer and q-antisymmetrizer) of ranks $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, respectively.

The statement that (43) satisfies the Yang-Baxter relation is equivalent [14] to the following property of the projectors

$$\overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K} \; \overset{13}{R}{}^{LJ}_{\pm} \; \overset{12}{R}{}^{LI}_{\pm} = \overset{13}{R}{}^{LJ}_{\pm} \; \overset{12}{R}{}^{LI}_{\pm} \; \overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K}. \tag{45}$$

Notice that in the case of $U_q(sl(n))$ for $\rho^I = \rho^J = \rho^{I_0}$ this property obviously follows from the decomposition (44). The general case is discussed in the Appendix.

Remark. In the case of $U_q(sl(2))$ one can construct [14] all the matrices $R^{IJ}_{\pm}$ from the fundamental ones $R^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}_{\pm}$ with the help only of the symmetrizers P^{s}_{+} which are the projectors in $(\mathbf{C}^2)^{\otimes 2s}$ onto $(2s+1)$-dimensional subspace.

Now we are going to develop the fusion procedure for generating matrices.

Proposition 4 *Let U_I, U_J and W_I, W_J satisfy (27) and (32), respectively, and let $F^{IJ} \in V^I \otimes V^J$ be a matrix with entries commuting with all the generators of $\mathcal{J}_q$. Then*

$$\overset{21}{U}{}^{IJ}_{K} = \overset{12}{F}{}^{IJ} \; \overset{2}{U}{}^{J} \; \overset{1}{U}{}^{I} \; \overset{12}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K}, \quad \text{and} \quad \overset{12}{W}{}^{IJ}_{K} = \overset{12}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K} \; \overset{1}{W}{}^{I} \; \overset{2}{W}{}^{J} \; \overset{12}{F}{}^{IJ} \tag{46}$$

obey (27) and (32), respectively, with R-matrices constructed by the formulae (43).

Proof. Using (27) and (43), we verify this statement for U^{IJ}_{K} (for W^{IJ}_{K} it can be done in the same way)

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{N}_{\pm} \; \overset{32}{U}{}^{IJ}_{K} = \overset{1}{L}{}^{N}_{\pm} \; \overset{23}{F}{}^{IJ} \; \overset{3}{U}{}^{J} \; \overset{2}{U}{}^{I} \; \overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K} =$$

$$= \overset{23}{F}{}^{IJ} \; \overset{3}{U}{}^{J} \; \overset{13}{R}{}^{NJ}_{\pm} \; \overset{1}{L}{}^{N}_{\pm} \; \overset{2}{U}{}^{I} \; \overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K} = \overset{23}{F}{}^{IJ} \; \overset{3}{U}{}^{J} \; \overset{13}{R}{}^{NJ}_{\pm} \; \overset{2}{U}{}^{I} \; \overset{12}{R}{}^{NI}_{\pm} \; \overset{1}{L}{}^{N}_{\pm} \; \overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K} =$$

$$= \overset{23}{F}{}^{IJ} \; \overset{3}{U}{}^{J} \; \overset{2}{U}{}^{I} \; \overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K} \; \overset{13}{R}{}^{NJ}_{\pm} \; \overset{12}{R}{}^{NI}_{\pm} \; \overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K} \; \overset{1}{L}{}^{N}_{\pm} = \overset{32}{U}{}^{IJ}_{K} \; \overset{1,32}{R}{}^{NK}_{\pm} \; \overset{1}{L}{}^{N}_{\pm}.$$

Here we have used the property (45) and formula (43) and exploited the commutativity of all entries of F with those of L_{+}, L_{-}. □

Starting with the fundamental generating matrices and applying the described procedure appropriately many times, one can construct generating matrices corresponding to the arbitrary representation.

Remark. Due to the presence of the matrix F in (46), these formulae produce families of tensor operators $U^{JJ'}_{K}$ for given J, J', K. However, one will find only finite number of nonequivalent tensor operators among them.

Remark. It is important for possible applications (see the Discussion below) that the matrix F may depend nontrivially on the central elements of the algebra $\mathcal{J}_q$.

Remark. Formulae (46) give the generating matrices U^K, W^K in the basis of $V^I \otimes V^J$. One can rewrite them in the "natural" basis of the space V^K as follows

$$U^{K}_{ij} = e^{*}_{i} \, U^{JJ'}_{K} \, e_j, \quad i, j = 1, .., N \equiv \dim \rho^K.$$

Here e_i are the eigenvectors of the projector $P_K^{JJ'}$ and $e_i^* \, e_j = \delta_{ij}$.

The fusion procedure described above allows also to construct the invariant operators of $\mathcal{J}_q$. For simplicity, we consider only the case of $U_q(sl(n))$.

Proposition 5 *Let U^{I_0} and W^{I_0} obey, respectively, (27) and (32) for the fundamental representation ρ^{I_0} of $\mathcal{J}_q = U_q(sl(n))$, let $P_-^{I_0}$ denote the antisymmetrizer in $(V^{I_0})^{\otimes n}$ and let $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ be a set of matrices such that $F_k = f_k \otimes e^{I_0} ... \otimes e^{I_0} \in (V^{I_0})^{\otimes n}$, where entries of the matrices $f_k \in (V^{I_0})^{\otimes (k+1)}$ commute with all the elements of $\mathcal{J}_q$. Then all the entries of the matrices*

$$\overset{n..1}{U}{}^{0} = F_{n-1} \overset{n}{U}{}^{I_0} ... F_1 \overset{2}{U}{}^{I_0} \overset{1}{U}{}^{I_0} P_-^{I_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \overset{1..n}{W}{}^{0} = P_-^{I_0} \overset{1}{W}{}^{I_0} \overset{2}{W}{}^{I_0} F_1 ... \overset{n}{W}{}^{I_0} F_{n-1}$$

commute with any $\xi \in \mathcal{J}_q$.

Proof. For U^0 one finds (the case of W^0 is analogous)

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{0}{L}{}_\pm^{I_0} \overset{n..1}{U}{}^{0} &= \overset{0}{L}{}_\pm^{I_0} F_{n-1} \overset{n}{U}{}^{I_0} ... F_1 \overset{2}{U}{}^{I_0} \overset{1}{U}{}^{I_0} P_-^{I_0} = \\
&= F_{n-1} \overset{n}{U}{}^{I_0} \overset{n0}{R}{}_\pm^{I_0 I_0} ... F_1 \overset{2}{U}{}^{I_0} \overset{20}{R}{}_\pm^{I_0 I_0} \overset{1}{U}{}^{I_0} \overset{10}{R}{}_\pm^{I_0 I_0} P_-^{I_0} \overset{0}{L}{}_\pm^{I_0} = \\
&= F_{n-1} \overset{n}{U}{}^{I_0} ... F_1 \overset{2}{U}{}^{I_0} \overset{1}{U}{}^{I_0} \overset{n0}{R}{}_\pm^{I_0 I_0} ... \overset{10}{R}{}_\pm^{I_0 I_0} P_-^{I_0} \overset{0}{L}{}_\pm^{I_0} = \\
&= F_{n-1} \overset{n}{U}{}^{I_0} ... F_1 \overset{2}{U}{}^{I_0} \overset{1}{U}{}^{I_0} P_-^{I_0} \overset{0}{L}{}_\pm^{I_0} = \overset{n..1}{U}{}^{0} \overset{0}{L}{}_\pm^{I_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the property [14, 7, 8]: $\overset{n0}{R}{}_\pm^{I_0 I_0} ... \overset{10}{R}{}_\pm^{I_0 I_0} P_-^{I_0} = c \, P_-^{I_0}$ (we have $c = 1$ due to the special choice of normalization in (44)). ☐

Remark. The construction described in the Proposition 5 is a modification of the formula for the *quantum determinant* [14, 8]. Hence it can be generalized to the case of other quantum Lie algebras as well.

d) Connection of covariant and contravariant operators.

It is well known [1] that entries of contravariant tensor operators can be reexpressed via those of covariant tensor operators and vice versa. Let us formulate this correspondence in the R-matrix language. Recall that for $U_q(sl(n))$ there exists the q-Weyl element $\mathcal{W}$ [12, 13] (in general, it belongs to the extension of $U_q(sl(n))$) with the property

$$\mathcal{W} \, \xi \, \mathcal{W}^{-1} \; = \; \tau \; (S(\xi)) \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathcal{J}_q, \tag{47}$$

where τ is an antiautomorphism defined as follows

$$\tau(X_i^{\pm}) = X_{\theta(i)}^{\mp}, \quad \tau(H_i) = H_{\theta(i)}, \quad i = 1, .., n-1. \tag{48}$$

Here θ stands for the certain involution of Dynkin diagram (it can be extracted from (23)) such that $\theta \circ \theta = id$. It can be represented as an element of the group of permutations (of positive roots). For example: for $sl(2)$, $\theta \sim \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$; for $sl(3)$, $\theta \sim \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 123 \\ 213 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$.

Taking into account the relation $\tau S \tau = S^{-1}$, one gets from (47) two "crossing-symmetry" relations for the universal R-matrix

$$(\tau \otimes id) \, \mathcal{R}^{-1} = \overset{1}{\mathcal{W}} \; \mathcal{R} \, (\overset{1}{\mathcal{W}})^{-1}, \quad (\overset{2}{\mathcal{W}})^{-1} \, \mathcal{R}^{-1} \, \overset{2}{\mathcal{W}} = (id \otimes \tau) \mathcal{R}. \tag{49}$$

For each irreducible representation ρ^I of $U_q(sl(n))$ the element $\mathcal{W}$ can be written as a certain matrix $\mathcal{W}^I$. In the case of $U_q(sl(2))$ the corresponding matrix for the irreducible representation of spin s is given by the (2s+1)×(2s+1) matrix [12, 13]

$$\mathcal{W}^s_{mk} = (-1)^k \, q^{s+1-m} \, \delta_{m,2s+2-k}, \quad m,k = 1,..,2s+1.$$

Let us give another example. Introducing n×n matrices

$$\left(E_{i,j}\right)_{mn} \,=\, \delta_{im}\, \delta_{jn}, \tag{50}$$

one can write down the fundamental representation of $U_q(sl(n))$ as follows

$$\rho^{I_0}(H_i) = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}, \quad \rho^{I_0}(X_i^+) = E_{i,i+1}, \quad \rho^{I_0}(X_i^-) = E_{i+1,i}, \quad i = 1,..,n-1. \tag{51}$$

Proposition 6 *For the fundamental representation ρ^{I_0} of $U_q(sl(n))$ the element $\mathcal{W}$ is given by the following $n{\times}n$ matrix*

$$\mathcal{W}^{I_0}_{mk} = (-1)^k \, q^{k-(n+1)/2} \, \delta_{m,n-k+1}, \quad m,k = 1,..,n. \tag{52}$$

Proof. From (50), (52) one derives

$$\mathcal{W}^{I_0} \, E_{i,j} \, \left(\mathcal{W}^{I_0}\right)^{-1} = q^{i-j} \, (-1)^{i+j} \, E_{n-i+1,n-j+1}. \tag{53}$$

Substituting (53) into (51), one gets exactly the transformation (47) for the generators corresponding to simple roots. It is sufficient since (47) defines a homomorphism. □ (Notice also that (53) gives an explicit form of the involution θ in this case.)

Now the correspondence between covariant and contravariant tensor operators can be formulated and proved in the R-matrix language as follows.

Proposition 7 *Let U^J and W^J be generating matrices obeying (27) and (32), respectively, and let $\mathcal{W}^J$ be a matrix form of the q-Weyl element (47) in the representation ρ^J. Then*

$$\widetilde{U}^J \,\equiv\, (W^J)^t \, \mathcal{W}^J, \qquad \widetilde{W}^J \,\equiv\, \mathcal{W}^J \, (U^J)^t$$

obey (27) and (32), respectively (t denotes the transposition in auxiliary space).

Proof. Let us prove, say, the first of these formulae. Taking transposition of the equations (32) in the second auxiliary space, multiplying them from the right by $(e \otimes \mathcal{W}^J)$ and applying (49), one gets exactly the equations (27) for $\widetilde{U}^J$. □

Remark. From the Propositions 3 and 7 we conclude that $U^J \mathcal{W}^J (U^J)^t$ and $(W^J)^t \mathcal{W}^J W^J$ are the matrices of scalars.

Now let us consider the automorphism of $U_q(sl(n))$ which is given by (note that τ in (48) was defined as an antiautomorphism)

$$\chi\,(X_i^{\pm}) \,=\, X^{\mp}_{\theta(i)}, \quad \chi\,(H_i) \,=\, H_{\theta(i)}, \quad i = 1,..,n-1, \tag{54}$$

where θ was described above. For any irreducible representation ρ^I it can be realized as a similarity transformation with the antidiagonal matrix χ^I

$$\rho^I(\chi\,(\xi)) \,=\, \chi^I \, \rho^I(\xi) \, (\chi^I)^{-1}, \quad \chi^I_{mk} = \delta_{m,N+1-k}, \quad m,k = 1,..,N = \dim \rho^I. \tag{55}$$

It is easy to see that the fundamental R-matrices for $U_q(sl(n))$ [6, 7]

$$R^{I_0I_0}_{\pm} = q^{\pm\frac{1}{n}}\Big(q^{\pm1}\sum_{i} E_{i,i}\otimes E_{i,i} + \sum_{i\neq j} E_{i,i}\otimes E_{j,j} \pm\omega \sum_{\pm(j-i)>0} E_{i,j}\otimes E_{j,i}\Big), \quad i,j=1,..,n \tag{56}$$

where $E_{i,j}$ were defined in (50), have the properties

$$\overset{1}{\chi}{}^{I_0}\, R^{I_0I_0}_{\pm}\, (\overset{1}{\chi}{}^{I_0})^{-1} = (R^{I_0I_0}_{\pm})^{t_1}, \quad \overset{2}{\chi}{}^{I_0}\, R^{I_0I_0}_{\pm}\, (\overset{2}{\chi}{}^{I_0})^{-1} = (R^{I_0I_0}_{\pm})^{t_2}. \tag{57}$$

Proposition 8 *Let ρ^{I_0} denote the fundamental representation of $U_q(sl(n))$ and let $\widehat{U}^{I_0}$ and $\widehat{W}^{I_0}$ be defined as in (26) and satisfy the relations*

$$\overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm}\ \overset{2}{\widehat{U}}{}^{I_0} = R^{I_0I_0}_{\pm}\ \overset{2}{\widehat{U}}{}^{I_0}\ \overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm}, \quad \overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm}\ \overset{2}{\widehat{W}}{}^{I_0} = \overset{2}{\widehat{W}}{}^{I_0}\,(R^{I_0I_0}_{\pm})^{-1}\ \overset{1}{L}{}^{I_0}_{\pm}, \tag{58}$$

respectively. Then n-th column of $\widehat{U}^{I_0}$ and m-th row (for any n and m) of $\widehat{W}^{I_0}$ form co- and contravariant q-tensor operators (which transform by the representation ρ^{I_0}), respectively, according to the rules

$$\widehat{U}^{I_0}_{in} = T^{I_0\,\langle n\rangle}_{N-i}, \quad \widehat{W}^{I_0}_{mi} = \overline{T}^{I_0\,\langle m\rangle}_{N-i}, \quad i=1,..,N=\dim\rho^{I_0}.$$

Proof. Taking transposition of equations (27) and (32) in the second auxiliary space, multiplying them from the left and from the right, respectively, by $(e\otimes\chi^{I_0})$ and using the identities (57), one gets exactly the equations (58) for $\widehat{U}^{I_0}\equiv\chi^{I_0}\,(U^{I_0})^t$ and $\widehat{W}^{I_0}\equiv(W^{I_0})^t\,\chi^{I_0}$. □

e) Classical limit.

Let us consider the limit $q\to1$ which returns us to the classical representation theory. Let $r\in\mathcal{J}\otimes\mathcal{J}$ denote the classical r-matrix, i.e., $r=\lim_{q\to1}(\mathcal{R}-e\otimes e)/(q-1)$. For $\mathcal{J}=sl(n)$ it is given by [6]

$$r = 2\,(\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} H_\alpha\otimes H_\alpha + X^+_\alpha\otimes X^-_\alpha). \tag{59}$$

Similarly to the quantum case, one can define the objects

$$l^I_+ = (\rho^I\otimes id)\,r, \quad l^I_- = -(\rho^I\otimes id)\,r', \quad r^{IJ}_+ = (\rho^I\otimes\rho^J)\,r, \quad r^{IJ}_- = -(\rho^I\otimes\rho^J)\,r',$$

where $r'=\sigma(r)$ with σ being the permutation in $\mathcal{J}\otimes\mathcal{J}$.

Now we can write down the classical limit of the equations (27) and (32):

$$[\,\overset{1}{l}{}^{I_0}_{\pm}\,,\,\overset{2}{U}{}^{J}_0\,] = \overset{2}{U}{}^{J}_0\, r^{I_0J}_{\pm}, \quad [\,\overset{1}{l}{}^{I_0}_{\pm}\,,\,\overset{2}{W}{}^{J}_0\,] = -r^{I_0J}_{\pm}\ \overset{2}{W}{}^{J}_0. \tag{60}$$

Proposition 9 *The relations (60) define generating matrices corresponding to nondeformed co- and contravariant tensor operators, that is, each row of U^J_0 and each column of W^J_0 form tensor operators (which transform by the representation ρ^J of the algebra $\mathcal{J}$) in the sense of definitions (2) and (10), respectively.*

Proof is analogous to the one that was given for the Propositions 1 and 2. □

The reformulations of Propositions 3 – 7 for the classical case are obvious as well. It is interesting to mention that classical analogue of the Proposition 8 is valid for the generating matrices $\widehat{U}^J_0$, $\widehat{W}^J_0$ of any representation ρ^J because the matrices $r^{IJ}_{\pm}$ obviously satisfy (57) (with χ^J given by (55)).

Remark. As a consequence of (60) we find

$$[\, \overset{1}{l}{}^I_+ - \overset{1}{l}{}^I_- \,,\, \overset{2}{U}{}^J_0 \,] = \overset{2}{U}{}^J_0 \, c^{IJ}, \quad [\, \overset{1}{l}{}^I_+ - \overset{1}{l}{}^I_- \,,\, \overset{2}{W}{}^J_0 \,] = -\, c^{IJ} \; \overset{2}{W}{}^J_0 ,$$

where c^{IJ} is a representation of the "tensor Casimir element" $C = r_+ - r_- = t_a \otimes t^a$ of the algebra $\mathcal{J}$.

f) Tensor operators for $U_q(sl(2))$.

Let us consider the case of $U_q(sl(2))$ in detail. The generators of this quantum algebra obey the commutation relations

$$[\, X_+ \,,\, X_- \,] = \frac{q^{2H} - q^{-2H}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [\, H \,,\, X_\pm \,] = \pm X_\pm, \tag{61}$$

and their Hopf algebra attributes look like

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H &= H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H, & \Delta\, X_\pm &= X_\pm \otimes q^{-H} + q^H \otimes X_\pm, \\ S(H) &= -H, & S(X_\pm) &= -q^{\mp 1} X_\pm. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

The universal R-matrix for $U_q(sl(2))$ is given by [12]

$$\mathcal{R} \, = q^{2H \otimes H} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\omega^n \, q^{-\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{[n]!} \left(q^{n\, H} X^n_+ \right) \otimes \left(q^{-n\, H} X^n_- \right), \quad \omega = q - q^{-1}. \tag{63}$$

The fundamental L-operators obtained from (63) by formulae (16) are

$$L_+ = \begin{pmatrix} q^H & \omega q^{-1/2} X_- \\ 0 & q^{-H} \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_- = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-H} & 0 \\ -\omega q^{1/2} X_+ & q^H \end{pmatrix}. \tag{64}$$

The same expressions (64) when generators are replaced by their matrix representations of spin s (we use the standard notation for q-numbers: $[x] = (q^x - q^{-x})/\omega$)

$$(\rho^s(X_+))_{mn} = \delta_{m\, n-1} \sqrt{[m][2s+1-m]}, \quad (\rho^s(X_-))_{mn} = \delta_{m\, n+1} \sqrt{[m-1][2s+2-m]},$$

$$(\rho^s(H))_{mn} = \delta_{mn} (s + 1 - m), \quad m = 1, .., 2s+1, \tag{65}$$

can be regarded as $R^{\frac{1}{2}\, s}_{\pm}$, i.e., the R-matrices which are $(\frac{1}{2}, s)$-representation of (63). In particular, the fundamental R-matrices are

$$R^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}_{+} = q^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad R^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}_{-} = q^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{66}$$

After substituting the L-operators (64) and the corresponding R-matrices $R_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{2}\,s}$ into (27) or (29) we get the relations describing tensor operators corresponding to (half-) integer spin s. More precisely, from (27) or (29) the following matrix equations for (2s+1)×(2s+1) matrix U^s arise

$$q^H\,U^s\,q^{-H} = U^s\,q^{\rho^s(H)}, \quad X_\pm\,U^s\,q^H - q^{\mp 1}\,q^H\,U^s\,X_\pm = U^s\,\rho^s(X_\pm), \tag{67}$$

where $\rho^s(X_\pm)$ and $\rho^s(H)$ are matrices defined in (65). It is easy to see that these matrix equations exactly coincide with the definition of tensor operators for $U_q(sl(2))$

$$\begin{array}{l} [\,H\,,\,T^s_m\,] = m\,T^s_m, \\ (X_\pm\,T^s_m - q^m T^s_m\,X_\pm)\,q^H = \sqrt{[s\pm m+1][s\mp m]}\;T^{\,s}_{m\pm 1}, \end{array} \qquad m=-s,..,s \tag{68}$$

which is a particular case of the definition (25). Equations (67) show that the rule (28) now reads (the index n labels different tensor operators)

$$U^s_{n\,1} = T^{s\,\langle n\rangle}_{\;s}, \quad U^s_{n\,2} = T^{s\,\langle n\rangle}_{\;s-1}, \;\ldots\;, U^s_{n\,2s+1} = T^{s\,\langle n\rangle}_{\;-s}.$$

Treating in the same way the relations (32), we get the matrix equations

$$q^H\,W^s\,q^{-H} = q^{\rho^s(H)}\,W^s, \quad X_\pm\,W^s\,q^H - q^{\mp 1}\,q^H\,W^s\,X_\pm = -q^{\mp 1}\,\rho^s(X_\pm)\,W^s, \tag{69}$$

which are equivalent to the definition of conjugated tensor operator for $U_q(sl(2))$

$$[\,H\,,\,\overline{T}^s_m\,] = -m\,\overline{T}^s_m, \quad (X_\pm\,\overline{T}^s_m - q^{-m}\overline{T}^s_m\,X_\pm)\,q^H = -\sqrt{[s\mp m+1][s\pm m]}\;\overline{T}^s_{m\mp 1}. \tag{70}$$

Equations (69) show that the entries of n-th column of the matrix W^s forms conjugated tensor operator as follows

$$W^s_{1n} = \overline{T}^{s\,\langle n\rangle}_{\;s}, \quad W^s_{2n} = \overline{T}^{s\,\langle n\rangle}_{\;s-1}, \;\ldots\;, W^s_{(2s+1)n} = \overline{T}^{s\,\langle n\rangle}_{\;-s}.$$

Let us give some particular realization of the matrix $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$ constructed [2] in [15] (here γ is an arbitrary coefficient):

$$W^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} q^{\frac{1}{2}}\,z_1^{-1}[z_1\partial_1]\,q^{-\gamma\,p}q^{\frac{1}{2}z_2\partial_2} & -z_2\,q^{\gamma\,p}q^{-\frac{1}{2}z_1\partial_1} \\ q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,z_2^{-1}[z_2\partial_2]\,q^{-\gamma\,p}q^{-\frac{1}{2}z_1\partial_1} & z_1\,q^{\gamma\,p}q^{\frac{1}{2}z_2\partial_2} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{[p]}}, \quad p = z_1\partial_1 + z_2\partial_2 + 1. \tag{71}$$

Here the entries of $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are regarded as operators acting on $D_q(z_1,z_2)$ – the space of holomorphic functions of two complex variables (a natural realization of the model space $\mathcal{M}$ for $U_q(sl(2))$, see, e.g., [15] for more comments) spanned on the following orthonormal basic vectors

$$|j,m\rangle = \frac{z_1^{j+m}\,z_2^{j-m}}{\sqrt{[j+m]![j-m]!}}, \qquad j = \;0,\;\tfrac{1}{2},\;1,\;\tfrac{3}{2},\;\ldots \qquad m=-j,..,j.$$

---

[2] This matrix differs from that obtained in [15] in signs of the off-diagonal elements because these matrices were chosen to be consistent with slightly different forms of $L_\pm$.

The realization of the generators (61) on $D_q(z_1, z_2)$ is given by

$$X_+ = z_1\, z_2^{-1}[z_2\partial_2], \quad X_- = z_2\, z_1^{-1}[z_1\partial_1], \quad q^H = q^{\frac{1}{2}(z_1\partial_1 - z_2\partial_2)} \tag{72}$$

and p which enters (71) is the operator of spin, i.e., $p\,|j, m\rangle = (2j+1)|j, m\rangle$.

One can verify that (71) obeys the defining relations (32) with R-matrices given by (66) and entries of the (64) taken in the representation (72). Therefore, the columns of (71) are two (nonequivalent) contravariant tensor operators.

Finally, in the limit $q\to 1$ one gets from (71) an example of generating matrix $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the nondeformed algebra $sl(2)$

$$W^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 & -z_2 \\ \partial_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}, \quad p = z_1\partial_1 + z_2\partial_2 + 1. \tag{73}$$

3. Discussion

• In the present paper we gave the systematical description of tensor operators for classical and quantum Lie algebras in the R-matrix language. Of course, there exist other possible ways to formulate the most of statements above (see e.g. [3, 4, 5]).

For example, alternative definitions can be given in the language developed in [5] as follows. Let ρ^I be a certain representation of $\mathcal{J}_q$. Define the maps

$$\mu^I\,(\,\xi\,) = (\rho^I \otimes id)\,\Delta(\,\xi\,), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{J}_q.$$

These objects can be regarded as matrices in auxiliary space: $\mu^I\,(\,\xi\,) \in \mathrm{End}(V^I) \otimes \mathcal{J}_q$. Now the statement that the set of operators $\{\Psi^I_j\}$ is a tensor operator looks like

$$\Psi^I_m\, \xi = \mu^I_{km}\,(\,\xi\,)\, \Psi^I_k.$$

The later relation is equivalent to our definition (27) due to the quasitriangularity (13) of the universal R-matrix. The analogue of the fusion formula (46) now reads

$$\Psi^K_m = \Big(\mathrm{C}[IJK]\,\Psi^I \otimes \Psi^J\Big)_m,$$

where the Clebsch-Gordan maps were defined in (38)-(40). Here these CG-maps play the same role as the quantum projectors do in (43), (46).

• So far we have considered, in fact, q-deformed objects for generic value of the deformation parameter q. In the case of q being a root of unity the representation theory of quantum algebras and the theory of q-tensor operators are to be modified. A possible approach (the method of truncation) was proposed in [5]. It would be interesting to formulate the theory of "truncated" tensor-operators in the R-matrix language.

• Finally, let us point out that the equations (27) and (32) can be complemented by some equations (either of the R-matrix type [16, 17, 15] or of the "functorial" type [5]) which define commutation relations between the entries of generating matrices. Introducing such equations, one imposes strong additional conditions on a structure of generating matrices.

For example, the matrix (71) does satisfy the following R-matrix equations (see [15] for details)

$$R_{\pm} \overset{1}{W} \; \overset{2}{W} \; = \overset{2}{W} \; \overset{1}{W} \, R^F_{\pm}, \qquad R^F_{\pm} \equiv (F')^{-1} \, R_{\pm} \, F, \tag{74}$$

where F is a certain matrix depending on the full spin p (this object was discussed in different aspects in [16, 18]). It is worth to mention that the fusion formulae (46) for the generating matrices obeying the additional relation (74) must involve exactly that matrix F which appears in (74).

Let us also mention that, most probably, the additional relations of type (74) allow to get a full set of basic tensor operators ((71) is an example for $U_q(sl(2))$). More on this topic will be discussed in [19].

Appendix.

The following construction is due to V.Schomerus (see also [5]). Let $\mathcal{P}^K \in \mathcal{J}_q$ be a projector on the subspace $\mathcal{H}_K \subset \mathcal{H}$ corresponding to a certain representation ρ^K, i.e., $\rho^J(\mathcal{P}^K) = \delta_{JK} \, \rho^K(e)$. Then the projectors introduced in (43)-(45) are given by

$$P^{IJ}_K \; = \; (\rho^I \otimes \rho^J)\Big(\Delta(\mathcal{P}^K) \, \mathcal{P}^I \otimes \mathcal{P}^J\Big).$$

Now using the quasitriangularity property (13) and the commutativity of $\mathcal{P}^K$ with all the elements of $\mathcal{J}_q$,

$$\overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K} \; \overset{13}{R}{}^{LJ}_{+} \; \overset{12}{R}{}^{LI}_{+} = \rho^L \otimes \rho^I \otimes \rho^J \left(e \otimes \mathcal{P}^I \otimes \mathcal{P}^J \, (id \otimes \Delta) \left((e \otimes \mathcal{P}^K) \, \mathcal{R} \right) \right) =$$

$$= \rho^L \otimes \rho^I \otimes \rho^J \left((id \otimes \Delta) \left(\mathcal{R} \, (e \otimes \mathcal{P}^K) \right) e \otimes \mathcal{P}^I \otimes \mathcal{P}^J \right) = \overset{13}{R}{}^{LJ}_{+} \; \overset{12}{R}{}^{LI}_{+} \; \overset{23}{P}{}^{IJ}_{K}$$

we obtain the desired equality (45).

Acknowledgements.

I am grateful to V.Schomerus for constructive discussions and carefully reading of the manuscript. I would like to thank L.D.Faddeev, P.P.Kulish, P.Schupp and M.A.Semenov-Tian-Shansky for useful comments. I am thankful to Prof. G.Mack for hospitality at II. Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Hamburg.

This work was supported in part by Volkswagen-Stiftung grant and by ISF grant R2H000.

References

[1] E.P.Wigner, *Group theory and its applications to the quantum mechanics of atomic spectra.* (Academic Press, 1959);
E.M.Loebl (ed.), *Group theory and its applications.* (Academic Press, 1968);
A.O.Barut, R.Raczka, *Theory of group representations and applications.* (Scient. Publishers, 1977);
L.C.Biedenharn, J.D.Louck, *Angular momentum in quantum physics.* Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications. **v.8** (1981).

[2] I.N.Bernstein, I.M.Gelfand, S.I.Gelfand, *Models for representations of Lie groups*, in Proc. of I.G.Petrovsky seminars, **2**, 3 (1976) (in Russian); Funct. analysis and its appl. **9**, 61 (1975).

[3] D.Buchholz, G.Mack, I.Todorov, In: Proc. of conf. on quantum groups, Clausthal Zellerfeld, 1989;
M.Nomura, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **59**, 439 (1990);
G.Mack, V.Schomerus, Phys. Lett. **B 267**, 207 (1991); Comm. Math. Phys. **134**, 139 (1990);
F.Pan, J. Phys. **A 24**, L803 (1991);
V.Rittenberg, M.Scheunert, J. Math. Phys. **33**, 436 (1992).

[4] L.C.Biedenharn, M.Tarlini, Lett. Math. Phys. **20**, 271 (1990);
K.Bragiel, Lett. Math. Phys. **21**, 181 (1991);
L.C.Biedenharn, M.Lohe, Lect. Notes Math. **1510**, 197 (1992);
A.U.Klimyk, J. Phys. **A25**, 2919 (1992);
J.F.Cornwell, to appear in J. Math. Phys.

[5] G.Mack, V.Schomerus, Nucl. Phys. **B 370**, 185 (1992).

[6] V.G.Drinfeld, *Quantum groups.* In: Proc. of ICM, Berkeley 1986 (Amer. Math. Soc. Publ., 1987); Sov. Math. Dokl. **32**, No.1 (1985).

[7] M.Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. **10**, 63 (1985); Lett. Math. Phys. **11**, 247 (1986).

[8] L.D.Faddeev, N.Yu.Reshetikhin, L.A.Takhtajan, Leningrad Math. Journal **1**, 193 (1990).

[9] N.Yu.Reshetikhin, M.A.Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Lett. Math. Phys. **19**, 133 (1990).

[10] E.K.Sklyanin, J.Phys. **A 21**, 2375 (1988);
P.P.Kulish, E.K.Sklyanin, J.Phys. **A 25**, 5963 (1992).

[11] N.Burroughs, Comm. Math. Phys. **127**, 109 (1990);
M.Rosso, Comm. Math. Phys. **124**, 307 (1989).

[12] A.N.Kirillov, N.Yu.Reshetikhin, Adv. series in Math. Phys. **11**, 202 (World Scientific, 1990).

[13] A.N.Kirillov, N.Yu.Reshetikhin, Comm. Math. Phys. **134**, 421 (1990);
N.Yu.Reshetikhin, V.G.Turaev, Comm. Math. Phys. **127**, 1 (1990).

[14] P.P.Kulish, E.K.Sklyanin, Lect.Notes Phys. **151**, 61 (1982);
P.P.Kulish, N.Yu.Reshetikhin, E.K.Sklyanin, Lett. Math. Phys. **5**, 393 (1981).

[15] A.G.Bytsko, L.D.Faddeev, *The q-analogue of model space and CGC generating matrices*, preprint q-alg/9508022 (1995).

[16] L.D.Faddeev, Comm. Math. Phys. **132**, 131 (1990);
O.Babelon, Comm. Math. Phys. **139**, 619 (1991).

[17] A.Yu. Alekseev, L.D.Faddeev, Comm. Math. Phys. **141**, 413 (1991);
F.Falceto, K.Gawedzki, J. Geom. Phys. **11**, 251 (1993).

[18] O.Babelon, D.Bernard, E.Billey, *A quasi-Hopf algebra interpretation of quantum 3-j and 6-j symbols and difference equations*, preprint q-alg/9511019 (1995).

[19] A.G.Bytsko, V.Schomerus, to appear.